

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,693		08/19/2003	Gerhard Schmid	27392/26877	5087
4743	7590	09/21/2005		EXAMINER	
	•	RSTEIN & BORUI	STOKES, CANDICE CAPRI		
SEARS TO		RIVE, SUITE 6300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
· CHICAGO	, IL 606	06	3732		
			DATE MAILED: 00/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A	Z	从
•	•	

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/643,693	SCHMID ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Candice C. Stokes	3732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		4.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	uaust 2003.						
, , ,	s action is non-final.						
,	ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 and 21-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 18-20,31 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 8/19/03 is/are: a) ☑ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)		·					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/19/03</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						
S. Datast and Trademark Office							

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-17,21-22 and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berall (USPN 5,827,178). Berall disclose a dental medical handpiece 18 comprising a display 34 wherein the handpiece 18 is of rod-like form and has a forward end and the display has a longitudinally directed spacing from the forward end. Regarding Claim 3, handpiece 18 comprises a grip section 21 extending rearwardly of the display 34 As to Claims 4-7 and 26 Fig. 4 shows the handpiece 18 comprises a forward longitudinal region that is angled or curved to a side and the display 34 is arranged on a surface of the handpiece 18 or projects outwardly

Art Unit: 3732

outwardly beyond the surface and extends approximately parallel to the surface of the handpiece 18. Regarding Claims 8-10 and 28, handpiece 18 comprises at least one actuating element 33 arranged in an edge region of the display 34. Further as to Claims 1,10 and 21, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Also to Claims 21 and 22, handpiece 18 comprises a data receiver 34 and a data transmitter 35. Regarding Claims 11,13-16, and 29-30, handpiece 18 comprises a forward end region with an image-taking device 26, the images from which can be shown on display 34, has a camera module, and is arranged off center in an edge region of handpiece 18 in an angling or curvature plane of handpiece and in a what can be considered a material annex. As to Claim 12, it is inherent that an image-taking device has a light inlet opening to allow some level of light in, which is covered by some sort of protective cover to prevent damage. With regards to Claim 17, the handpiece 18 can be considered a functional, probe and working handpiece. Finally regarding Claim 27, display 34 projects beyond the surface of the handpiece 18 and defines with the surface, or more specifically with the power source, an open acute angle.

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berall.

Berall discloses the claimed invention except for the spacing of the display being within the claimed ranges. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the display with any reasonable spacing, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18-20 and 31-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,693

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

Page 5

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kusakabe et al (USPN 5,980,248).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Candice C. Stokes whose telephone number is (571) 272-4714. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Candice C. Stokes

Cary F. O'Connor